1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 ----00000----11 12 DEBORAH STAMPFLI, an individual, No. 2:20-cv-01566 WBS DMC 13 Plaintiff, 14 v. ORDER STAYING CASE1 15 SUSANVILLE SANITARY DISTRICT, a political subdivision of the 16 State of California; STEVE J. STUMP, in his individual and 17 official capacities; JOHN MURRAY, in his individual and 18 official capacities; ERNIE PETERS, in his individual and 19 official capacities; DAVID FRENCH, in his individual and 20 official capacities; KIM ERB, in his individual and official 2.1 capacities; MARTY HEATH, in his individual and official 22 capacities; DOES I-V, inclusive; BLACK & WHITE CORPORATIONS I-V; and ABLE & BAKER COMPANIES, 23 inclusive, 2.4 Defendants. 25 26 27 The motion is decided on the papers without oral 28 argument pursuant to Local Rule 230(g).

Case 2:20-cv-01566-WBS-DMC Document 123 Filed 04/13/23 Page 2 of 2

----00000----

Defendant Steve J. Stump has appealed this court's denial of its motion for summary judgment on the sole remaining constitutional claim, and now moves to stay the remaining state law claim pending resolution of that appeal. (See Docket Nos. 113, 114, 117). Plaintiff has not filed an opposition to the motion.

A federal court can stay a proceeding pending the outcome of an appeal. See Nken v. Holder, 556 U.S. 418, 421 (2009). The power to stay proceedings "is incidental to the power inherent in every court to control the disposition of the cases on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants." Landis v. N. Am. Co., 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936).

The court in its discretion determines that a stay is appropriate. Accordingly, this case is STAYED pending resolution of defendant's appeal. All hearing dates in this case are hereby VACATED. The parties shall file a joint status report within 14 days after the Ninth Circuit issues a decision in the appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 13, 2023

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE